

### Remarks

Claim 2 was objected to for an informality which is corrected by the amendments above. Withdrawal of this rejection is requested.

Claim 8 was objected to because the claim references "said PSIP information." The office action requested that the text be amended to read, "Program System Information Protocol (PSIP) information [data]" on line 5 to make the claim language consistent. This amendment has been made as well as one other amendment from 'PSIP data' to 'PSIP information.' Withdrawal of this objection is requested.

Claims 8-11 were rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The limitation 'said audiovisual program description' lacked antecedent basis and was indefinite. The audiovisual program description may be extracted by the demultiplexing and decoding module as set out in the specification on page 15, last paragraph. Therefore, Applicant has amended the claim to include the audiovisual program description as part of the program-related information extracted in the previous subparagraph of claim 8. It is submitted that this amendment both provides antecedent basis for the next subparagraph and provides definiteness to the claim. Withdrawal of this rejection is therefore requested.

Claims 1, 3-6, 12 and 14 are allowed.

The Examiner is encouraged to telephone the undersigned at (503) 222-3613 if it appears that an interview would be helpful in advancing the case.

**Customer No. 46404**

Respectfully submitted,

MARGER JOHNSON & McCOLLOM, P.C.



Julie L. Reed  
Reg. No. 35,349

MARGER JOHNSON & McCOLLOM  
210 SW Morrison St., Suite 400  
Portland, OR 97204  
(503) 222-3613